

ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT OF AIR SAMPLING AT RICHARDSON FLAT PARK CITY, UTAH

> TDD R8-8608-05 EPA ID: UTD980952840

> > EPA PROJECT OFFICER: PAULA SCHMITTDIEL


E&E PROJECT OFFICER: HENRY SCHMELZER

REVIEWED BY:

SUBMITTED TO: LES SPRENGER, FIT-RPO DAVE SCHALLER, SITE EVALUATION CHIEF


DATE SUBMITTED: SEPTEMBER 19, 1986

DATE RESUBMITTED: AUGUST 24, 1987





Field Investigation Team Zone II



CONTRACT NO. 68-01-7347

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																						ŀ	AGE
LIST	OF TABLES	S AND F	IGURE	ES .	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
I.	INTRODUC	CTION .			•			•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
II.	SITE DES	CRIPTI	ON .		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	
III.	SITE HIS	STORY .			•					•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
IV.	METEOROL	OLOGY.			•	•		•		•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
V.	METHODOL	OGY			•			•	•		•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
VI.	QUALITY	ASSURA	NCE.			•		•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
VII.	ANALYTIC	CAL RES	ULTS		•			•	•		•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•
vIII.	DISCUSSI	ON				•		•	•			•	 •	•		•					•	•	
IX.	CONCLUSI	ONS AND	RECO	MME	NDA	ΙΤΙ	ONS	•	•		•	•	 .•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•		
						ΑF	PEN	DΙ	CE	S													
APPEN	DIX II	RAW R	ESULT			-	RE	POF	RT														
	DIX IV	UPDATI					ΓIG	АТТ	ON	F	'OR	MS											
									1	-	-11												

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1	FILTER CONCENTRATIONS							
TABLE 2	FLOW CALCULATIONS							
TABLE 3	AIRBORNE CONCENTRATIONS							
TABLE 4	COMPARISONS OF UPGRADIENT VS DOWNGRADIENT AND THREE SAMPLE LOCATIONS TO REMOTE BACKGROUND							
TABLE 5	SOIL RESULTS							
TABLE 6	SAMPLING START AND STOP TIMES							

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 AREA MAP OF	PARK CITY
FIGURE 2 AIR SAMPLE	LOCATION
FIGURE 3 SOIL SAMPLE	LOCATIONS
FIGURE 4 WIND ROSE D	AY 1
FIGURE 5 WIND ROSE D	AY 2
FIGURE 6 WIND ROSE D	AY 3
FIGURE 7 WIND ROSE D	AY 4
FIGURE 8 WIND ROSE D	AY 5
FIGURE 9 WIND SPEED	DAY 1
FIGURE 10 WIND SPEED	DAY 2
FIGURE 11 WIND SPEED	DAY 3
FIGURE 12 WIND SPEED	DAY 4
FIGURE 13 WIND SPEED	DAY 5

ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT FOR RICHARDSON FLAT TAILINGS PARK CITY, UTAH TDD #R8-8608-05

I. INTRODUCTION

This report was prepared to satisfy the requirements of Technical Directive Document (TDD) R8-8608-05 issued to Ecology and Environment's Field Investigation Team (E&E FIT) by Region VIII Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This report addresses the analytical results for the air sampling activities conducted at the Richardson Flat Tailings site in Park City, Utah. FIT members conducting the air sampling during July 7-14, 1986 were Henry Schmelzer and Dave Franzen. Sampling procedures used in this investigation conform to the Region VIII FIT SOP for Hi-Vol Air Sampling at Hazardous Waste Site; the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume II - Ambient Air Specific Methods; EPA-600/4-77-027A, May, 1977, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, N.C.; and 40 CFR Part 58, July, 1983.

The overall scope of the project involved the set up and operation of a total of five high volume (hi-vol) air samplers at four sampling locations over a five day period. A total of twenty-nine samples were collected including four duplicates and five blanks. Site access was set up by Sue Kennedy of Ecology and Environment, and Kelsey Land and Matt Cohn of Region VIII EPA.

The objectives of this investigation were to determine if the migration of heavy metal contaminated suspended particulate matter exists and to further substantiate and complete the HRS air route score. This score was previously based on photo-documentation of wind blown tailings material.

II. SITE DESCRIPTION

Richardson Flat Tailings is located in Summit County, Utah approximately 3.5 miles northeast of Park City. The tailings cover approximately 160 acres in the NW 1/4, Section 1 and NE 1/4 of Section 2, Township 2 South, Range 4 East (Figure 1). Highway 40 runs east and north of the area, and a Union Pacific Railroad track bisects the southern portion of the tailings. Silver Creek is located approximately 500 feet from the northwestern most extension of the tailings. An intermittent stream (water diversion ditch) forms the southeastern border of the tailings. An ephemeral pond overlies the northwestern portion of the tailings, and is contained by a dam at the northwestern end.

III. SITE HISTORY

The mill tailings at Richardson Flat came from the Keetley Ontario Mine and other metal mines currently owned by United Park City Mines (UPCM). The most recent use of the area for tailings disposal was during the period of time from 1975 to 1981. During this time, UPCM had all its mining properties leased to either Park City Ventures or Noranda Mining, Inc. who constructed and operated milling facilities on UPCM property.

It is estimated that at least seven million tons of tailings were deposited on Richardson Flat. While there is no current dumping of tailings on site, Mr. Ray Wortley is leasing the land the tailings are on from UPCM and using the tailings material for sewer line and road base backfill.

The site is not secured in any way from public access. An unpaved county road along the southern boundary of the tailings is unrestricted. Cattle and sheep are grazed in the area, and cattle have been observed walking across the tailings.

On June 20, 1985, clouds of fugitive dust moving offsite as a result of strong winds from the west-northwest were photographed by the original EPA-FIT team doing the site investigation. Results of analyses of surface tailings samples showed concentrations as high as 3,600 ppm arsenic, 80 ppm cadmium, 8,530 ppm lead, and 6,360 ppm zinc. Mean soil concentrations for those metals in the western U.S. respectively are 5.5 ppm, 0.2 ppm, 17 ppm, and 55 ppm (Shacklette, 1984).

IV. METEOROLOGY

The Richardson Flat tailings lie in a small flat topographic basin of approximately 800 acres. The configuration of the basin was expected to have a pronounced effect on local air flow. The basin is situated at 6600 feet elevation and is surrounded by ridges of the Wasatch Mountains that range from 6700 feet to 7600 feet. Silver Creek enters the basin from the west-southwest then angles to the north. Daytime up valley air flows were anticipated to originate from the west northwest. This was found to be the case.

The data presented in the following section was acquired from The Climatic Atlas of the United States, U.S. Department of Commerce, Environmental Sciences Services Administration, Environmental Data Service, June 1968. The climate of the Park City area is characterized by moderate fluctuations in temperature and precipitation throughout the year. Mean monthly temperatures range from 10 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) in December, January, and February to 80°F in June, July and August. During the month of July the average temperature is approximately 60°F. Precipitation for the Park City area varies from a mean monthly amount of 1.00 inches in July to 2.22 inches in December. Prevailing wind direction at Park City is typically from a southeasterly direction throughout the year. Relative humidity for the Park City area varies from 40 percent in August to 80 percent in December and February. The average relative humidity in July is 50 percent. Barometric pressure ranges from 1022 millibars (30.18 inches of mercury) in December and January to approximately 1010 millibars (29.83 inches of mercury) in June.

V. METHODOLOGY

All air sampling stations under this TDD were set up to sample in the breathing zone and were located in accordance with the Region VIII FIT SOP for Hi-Vol Sampling at Hazardous Waste Sites. The meteorologic station was set up next to sample locations AM-O3 and AM-O4. The wind vane was calibrated to magnetic north.

Air temperature, barometric pressure and relative humidity were also measured. This information was used to correct all flows and air concentrations to standard temperature and pressure conditions (STP).

The samplers were calibrated using a General Metal Works GMW-35 top loading orifice calibrator using an 8" x 10" cellulose filter in place. All samplers were set to run for 12 hours at approximately 40 cubic feet per minute. No calibration curve was available at the time the samplers were set up to initially calibrate them. It was decided to not attempt to change the flow rates since they had been set to 40 cfm at the last sampling site. When the sampling at Richardson Flat was completed, a calibration curve for the calibrator used was prepared at EPA-ESD in Denver and the actual flow rates of the samplers were calculated. See Appendix III.

All samplers were equipped with elapsed timers to record the total sample time. Each hi-vol also was equipped with a flow recorder which measured the flow throughout the sampling period. Any fluctuations in flow during the sample period would be noted on the recorder disk. It also served as a check on the elapsed timer.

Surficial soil samples from five locations were also taken. There was some concern that lead emissions from gasoline powered vehicles would cause interference in the air samples from the traffic along U.S. 40 and the county road. Samples were collected at two feet, ten feet and fifty feet from the edge of the asphalt roadway to see if deposition of lead from these vehicles would cause any interference or affect the results.

VI. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The air samples were analyzed for arsenic, cadmium, lead and zinc only. Soil samples were analyzed for Task 1 and 2 metals. The inorganic analytical data were examined thoroughly for compliance with contract laboratory program quality assurance criteria. The data were found to be of good quality. In the air samples, spike recoveries for cadmium and zinc were 65% and 60% respectively and actual values in the tables may be higher than presented. The analytical results for lead in soils were also of good quality. Duplicates showed good agreement. A blank was submitted for each sampling day. The quality assurance reports and raw data are shown in Appendix II.

VII. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The results of the inorganic analyses are noted in Table 1. Sample locations are noted in Figure 2.

Formulas used for determining the airborne concentrations are presented along with an explanation of terms with Table 2. Table 2 shows the calculations used to determine the total volume of air sampled corrected to standard conditions by each sampler on each sampling day. This information was used to create Table 3 which contains the average concentration per cubic meter for each of the four elements of concern. When combined with the wind speed and direction information from Figures 4-13, offsite migration of the contaminants can be determined. Table 4 shows the field increases for each days samples comparing upwind and downwind concentrations and downwind versus the remote background. Table 5 shows the Task 1 and 2 metal concentration in soils by the two major roadways by the site.

VIII. DISCUSSION

DAY 1

The sampling period began at 1745 hours on July 8, 1986 with the start up of the hi-vol sampler at location AM-O1. The last hi-vol sampler shut off at approximately 0700 hours on the morning of July 9th. The wind rose for this period is shown in Figure 4. The predominant wind flow for this period is from the SE at 61% of the sample period. The SSE direction also accounted for 18% of the wind during this time period. Wind speed and direction at the start of the sample period at 1800 hours were 5-10 mph from the SSE. At 2000 the winds increased slightly to around 10 mph and from the SE. At 2100 the wind speed increased to 15-20 mph from the SE. Winds again increased to over 20 mph with several gusts over 40 mph at 0030. Winds dropped back to 10-20 mph at 0130 and continued until 0500 when winds died to near calm, continuing that way until the end of the sample period at 0700.

Based on sampler locations during this time period, sampler AM-02 would be upwind and samplers AM-03 and AM-04 would be downwind. Sampler AM-05 was located fairly close to these last two locations and can serve as a secondary downwind sample location on this day. Results from Table 4 show a 102 fold increase in lead an 83 fold increase in cadmium, a 49 fold increase in arsenic, and a 40 fold increase in zinc, when comparing upwind versus downwind concentrations.

When sample location AM-02 is compared to AM-05, the results from Table 4 show a 59 fold increase in lead, a 50 fold increase in zinc, a 25 fold increase in arsenic and a 14 fold increase in cadmium.

DAY 2

Sampling began at 1100 on July 9th and ended at 0300 on July 10th. The wind rose for this sample period is shown in Figure 5. The

predominant winds are from the WNW and NW with 25% and 18% of the wind respectively from those vectors. The sample period started with light and variable winds from 0-10 mph. At 1430, the wind increased to 10-20 mph and stabilized from the WNW. At 1800 hours the wind dropped back to 5-10 mph and at 2000 the wind went calm and continued that way until the sample period ended.

Based on the wind rose, the upwind sample location would be AM-04 and the downwind location would be AM-02. Comparing upwind versus downwind sample locations reveals an 11 fold increase in lead, a 5 fold increase in zinc, and 7 fold increase in arsenic.

DAY 3

The sample period began at 1100 hours on July 10th and continued until 2300 hours. Figure 6 shows the wind rose for the site for this period of time. The predominant wind direction is WNW with 69% of the wind for this time period from that direction. Based on the wind rose and sampler locations, the upwind sampler would be AM-04 and the downwind location would be AM-02.

The wind at the start of the sampling period was from the NNW at 5-10 mph. At 1045, the wind picked up to 10-20 mph from the WNW and continued so until 1800 hours when the wind slowed to 5-10 and then went calm at 2000 hours.

Results from Table 4 show a 9 fold increase in lead, a three fold increase in zinc, a ten fold increase in arsenic and a two fold increase in cadmium when comparing upgradient versus downgradient.

DAY 4

Sampling was initiated at 1000 hours and continued until 2300 hours. Figure 7 shows the wind rose for this sampling period. The predominant wind direction is WNW with 55% of the sampling time followed by NW with 10%. Based on this information, the upgradient sample location is AM-04 and the downgradient is AM-02.

The sample period began with the wind blowing from the east at 5-10 mph. At 1100 hours, the wind became light at less than 5 mph and variable but at 1130 hours it stabilized with the wind coming from the WNW at 5-10 mph. The wind speed picked up to 10-20 mph at 1230 hours. It continued at this speed and direction through 1930 hours and also had a period of gusts to 30 mph around 1400 hours. The wind died off to 5-10 mph at 1930 hours and remained calm after 2000 hours.

Results from Table 4 show an increase in contaminant concentration of two fold for lead, three fold for zinc, seven fold for arsenic and 1.1 fold for cadmium for this sample period. Sampler AM-02 was the last sampler started so consequently when the winds went calm and remained that way for the last $3\ 1/2 - 4$ hours of the sampling period there would be less particulate material becoming airborne to be collected by the sampler.

DAY 5

The sample period for the 5th day started at 1000 hours and stopped at 2400 hours. Figure 8 shows the wind rose for this sample period. The predominant wind direction was NW with 25% of the sample time but 18% of the time the wind was from the SE, the completely opposite direction. No reliable upgradient or downgradient sample locations can be derived from the information so the three sample locations next to the tailing were compared to the remote background at AM-01.

The wind was 0-5 mph and variable at the start of the sample period at 1000 hours. It increased to 5-10 at 1300 hours and was predominantly from the SE but shifted to the NW at 1400 hours. This remained the predominant wind direction until 1930 when the wind died and went calm until the end of the sample period.

In comparison to the remote background location at AM-01, the sampler at AM-02 shows a six-fold increase in lead, a two-fold increase in zinc and a 1.8 fold increase in arsenic. When comparing

AM-01 to AM-04 there is a 3.5 fold increase in lead, 1.3 fold increase in zinc, and a 1.5 fold increase in arsenic at sample location AM-04. Comparing AM-05 to AM-01 there is a 2.4 fold increase in lead, a 1.5 fold increase in zinc, a 1.2 fold increase in arsenic and a 1.25 fold increase in cadmium at sample location AM-05.

Five soil samples were also taken on this day. The results are shown in Table 5. Of principle concern was the potential for interference with lead from vehicle emissions along U.S. 40 and the county road. Deposition of lead from vehicle emissions is most pronounced within the first 15 meters of the roadway. (40 CFR, Part 58, Appendix E, 7.3 and Daines, 1970). The samples taken 2 feet off of the asphalt edge of the roadway on U.S. 40 and the county road show lead at 477 and 418 mg/kg concentrations respectively. At 10 feet from the county road the concentration drops to 133 mg/kg. At 50 feet from U.S. 40 the concentration is 13 mg/kg which is within the range of the average lead in soil concentration for the Western U.S. of 9-31 mg/kg (Shacklette, 1984).

The air sampling location nearest to either U.S. 40 of the county road is over 200 yards. The concentration of lead in the tailings is 8530 mg/kg and the samplers were placed next to the tailings. Hence, based on the soil sampling and the air station placement, lead from vehicle emissions is not likely to be a major contributing factor to lead deposition in the air samples.

Soil sample SO-05 was intended to be a background sample for the soils. It was taken outside of the major airshed for the area in Park City, unfortunately by the Prospector Hotel. The sample contained 3479 mg/kg of lead and through an oversight, was collected from the Silver Creek Tailings proposed NPL site. Hence, sample SO-05 is not a background sample.

IX. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 4 compares the airborne metal concentrations of downgradient versus upgradient sample locations by sample day. Lead released from daily downgradient sample location ranged from 2.28 to 102.35 times the upgradient sample location. Zinc ranged from 2.43 to 49.58. Arsenic ranged from 7.33 to 48.84. Cadmium ranged from 1.0 to 82.5. When compared to the remote background, the increases are even higher: 261.56 for lead and 91.67 for cadmium.

Strong winds observed on the evening of July 7 prompted a night-time sample run. Winds during this sampling period were the strongest observed during the field activities and lasted throughout the sampling period. This may account for the largest release occurring on the first sampling day.

Based upon the information presented in this analytical results report, it can be concluded that Richardson Flat Tailing site is the source of a release of hazardous substances to the air. Onsite soil concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, lead and zinc documented in previous reports are yielding substantial concentrations of suspended particulates containing these elements. These contaminated particulates are migrating into the air at downwind sample locations on a daily basis when compared to the upwind sample location. The same is true when comparing the downwind samples to those taken at the same times from the remote background location. Based on this information, it is recommended that the Hazard Ranking System documentation package be updated and supplied with the current information.

TABLE 1
RICHARDSON FLATS
ARSENIC, CADMIUM, LEAD AND ZINC CONCENTRATIONS IN
TOTAL ug/filter BY SAMPLE DAY

DAY 1	AM-06	AM-01	AM-04	AM-03 BLANK	AM-02	AM-05A INITIAL LOCATION	AM-05B STATION MOVED
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc		1.0u .5ur 3.4 17j	54 4.8r 959 672j	1.0u .5ur .5u .4uj	1.0u .5u 8.3 15j	17 5.2r 348 527j	
DAY 2	BLANK						
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	1.0u .5ur .5u .4uj	1.0u .5ur 8.90 21j	1.5 .5ur 30 39j	1.4 .5ur 26 34j	6.8 .5ur 147 88j	1.0u .5ur 14 17j	
DAY 3	BLANK		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	1.0u .5ur .5u .4uj	1.0u .5ur 12 23j	1.5 .5ur 36 43j	1.0u .5ur 25 28j	13 .8r 264 169j	1.4 .5ur 30 55j	
DAY 4	BLANK						
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	1.0u .5ur .5u .4uj	1.0u .5ur 29 43j	1.0u .5ur 64 35j	1.2 .5ur 40 36j	6.6 .5ur 131 98j	 	1.1 .5ur 35 43j
DAY 5	BLANK						
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	1.0u .5ur .5u .4uj	1.0u .5ur 8.0 22j	1.5 .5ur 27 27j	1.0u .5ur 30 23j	1.8 .5ur 48 51j	 	1.0u .5ur 16 27j

u Element is undetected. Detection limit given.

j Matrix spike recovery was 65% for cadmium. Actual value may be higher. Duplicate relative percent of differences were out of CLP criteria for zinc.

r Matrix spike recovery for zinc was 60%. Values given are estimates.

EXPLANATION OF TABLE 2

FORMULAS:

Qstd = QR x
$$\underline{Pa \text{ in Hg x 25.4}}$$
 x $\underline{298K}$ (Tstd)
CFM CFM TaK 760mm(Pstd)
of Hg

Vol. = tmin x Qstd /35.32 std
$$m^3$$
 CFM

QRI CFM = Initial flow rate in cubic feet per minute.

QRF CFM = Final flow rate in cubic feet per minute.

QR CFM = Average flow rate in cubic feet per minute.

Ti F = Initial temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.

Tf F = Final temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.

Ta K = Average temperature converted to degrees Kelvin.

Pa in. Hg = average barometric pressure in inches of mercury.

Qstd CFM = Flow rate in cubic feet per minute at standard temperature and pressure.

t min = Total time in minutes that sampler ran.

Vol. std m^3 = Total volume of air sampled in cubic meters at standard temperature and pressure.

TABLE 2. CALCULATIONS OF STANDARD FLOW RATES

DAY 1	STATION NUMBER	LOCATION	FILTER #	QR CFM	TAK	PA INCHES	QSTD CFM	T MIN	STD M ³
	AM-01 AM-02 AM-03 AM-04 AM-05	BACKGROUND SE BLANK DAM NW	AM-01-1 AM-02-1 AM-03-1 AM-04-1 AM-05-1	43 41 0.0 42 41	290 287 288 289	23.25 23.25 23.25 23.25	34.33 33.08 33.77 32.85	552 549 609 391	536.60 514.25 582.34 363.72
DAY 2									
	AM-01 AM-02 AM-03 AM-04 AM-05 AM-06	BACKGROUND SE DUPLICATE DAM NW BLANK	AM-01-2 AM-02-2 AM-03-2 AM-04-2 AM-05-2 AM-06-2	40.5 39 39.5 42.5 41 0.0	289 288 290 290 288	23.25 23.25 23.25 23.25 23.25 	32.45 31.36 31.54 33.94 32.96	704 696 590 610 699	646.89 617.99 526.93 586.17 652.48
DAY 3									
	AM-01 AM-02 AM-03 AM-04 AM-05 AM-06	BACKGROUND SE DUPLICATE DAM NW BLANK	AM-01-3 AM-02-3 AM-03-3 AM-04-3 AM-05-3 AM-06-3	42.5 42 39.5 43 40.5 0.0	291 290 290 290 290 290	23.35 23.35 23.35 23.35 23.35	33.96 33.68 31.68 34.48 32.48	650 589 678 674 658	625.13 561.73 608.12 658.10 605.13
DAY 4						··			
	AM-01 AM-02 AM-03 AM-04 AM-05 AM-06	BACKGROUND SE DUPLICATE DAM W BLANK	AM-01-4 AM-02-4 AM-03-4 AM-04-4 AM-05-4 AM-06-4	45.5 40 40 42 37.5 0.0	293 293 293 293 293 292	23.35 23.35 23.35 23.35 23.35	36.11 31.75 31.75 33.34 29.87	726 624 665 661 630	742.41 560.97 597.83 623.95 532.79
DAY 5									
	AM-01 AM-02 AM-03 AM-04 AM-05 AM-06	BACKGROUND SE DUPLICATE DAM W BLANK	AM-01-5 AM-02-5 AM-03-5 AM-04-5 AM-05-5 AM-06-5	40.5 41 38 42.5 39 0.0	293 296 296 296 296 292	23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40	32.21 32.28 29.92 33.46 31.13	688 658 642 642 586	627.58 601.47 543.90 608.31 516.50

TABLE 3 AVERAGE AIRBORNE CONCENTRATIONS OF ARSENIC, CADMIUM, LEAD AND ZINC PER DAY IN ug/m^3

DAY 1	BACKGROUND AM-01	DAM AM-04	DUPLICATE AM-03	SE AM-02	NW AM-05A	W AM-05B
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	.0019 u .0009 ur .0063 .0317 j	.0928 .0825 r 1.6478 1.1546 j	 	.0019 u .0010 u .0161 .0292 j	.0467 .0143 r .9560 1.4478 j	
DAY 2			*			<u> </u>
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	.0015 u .0007 ur .0138 .0325 j	.0026 .0009 ur .0512 .0666 j	.0027 .0009 ur .0493 .0645 j	.0110 .0008 ur .2379 .1424 j	.0015 .0008 ur .0214 .0260 j	
DAY 3						
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	.0016 u .0008 ur .0192 .0368 j	.0023 .0008 ur .0547 .0653 j	.0016 u .0008 ur .0411 .0461 j	.0231 .0014r .4698 .3007 j	.0023 .0008 ur .0496 .0909 j	
DAY 4				···		
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	.0013 u .0007 ur .0391 .0580 j	.0016 u .0008 ur .1026 .0561 j	.0020 .0008ur .0669 .0602j	.0118 .0009 ur .2335 .1747 j	 	.0021 .0009ur .0657 .0807j
DAY 5						
Arsenic Cadmium Lead Zinc	.0016u .0008ur .0127 .0350j	.0025 .0008ur .0444 .0444j	.0018u .0009ur .0551 .0423j	.0029 .0008ur .0799 .0849j		.0019u .0010ur .0309 .0522j

⁻⁻ Sample not run.

Element is undetected. u

Matrix spike recovery was 65% for cadmium. Actual value may be higher. Duplicate relative percent of differences were out of CLP criteria for zinc. Matrix spike recovery for zinc was 60%. Values given are estimates. j

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF DOWNGRADIENT VS. UPGRADIENT AND BACKGROUND AIRBORNE METALS CONCENTRATION BY SAMPLE DAY IN ug/m^3

	PREVAILING	REMOTE	UPGRADIENT	PRIMARY DNGRADIENT	SECONDARY DNGRADIENT	CONTAMINANT INCREASE (TIMES UPGRADIENT) REMOTE				
DAY	WIND	BCKGRD	LOCATION	LOCATION	LOCATION	PRIMARY	SE CONDARY	BACKGROUND		
1	SE	AM-01	AM-02	AM-04	AM-05A					
		AS.0019	.0019	.0928	.0467	48.84	24.58	48.84		
		CD.0009	.0010	.0825	.0143	82.50	14.30	91.67		
		PB.0063	.0161	1.6478	.9560	102.35	59.38	261.56		
		ZN.0317	.0292	1.1546	1.4478	39.54	49.58	36.42		
2	WNW	AM-01	AM-05A	AM-02						
		AS.0015	.0015	.0110		7.33		7.33		
		CD.0007	.0008	.0008		1.0		1.14		
		PB.0138	.0214	.2379		11.12		17.24		
		ZN.0325	.0260	.1424		5.48		4.38		
3	WNW	AM01	AM-05A	AM-02						
		AS.0016	.0023	.0231		10.04		14.44		
		CD.0008	.0008	.0014		1.75		1.75		
		PB.0192	.0496	.4698		9.47		24.47		
		ZN.0368	.0909	.3007		3.31		8.17		
4	WNW	AM-01	AM-04	AM-02						
		AS.0013	.0016	.0118		7.38		9.08		
		CD.0007	.0008	.0009		1.125		1.29		
		PB.0391	.1026	.2335		2.28		5.97		
		ZN.0580	.0561	.1747		3.11		3.01		
			INCR	EASE VS REMOTI	BACKGROUND					
5	NONE	AM-01	AM-02	AM-04	AM-05B	AM-02	AM-04	AM-O5		
		AS.0016	.0029	.0025	.0019	1.81	1.56	1.19		
		CD.0008	.0008	.0008	.0010	1.0	1.0	1.25		
		PB.0127	.0799	.0444	.0309	6.29	3.49	2.43		
		ZN.0350	.0849	.0444	.0522	2.43	1.27	1.49		
			,,	,						

⁻⁻ No secondary downgradient

TABLE 5 SOIL CONCENTRATION OF TASK 1 AND 2 METALS IN RICHARDSON FLAT AREA

	CNTY RD 2' SO-01	CNTY RD 10' SO-02	US40 2' SO-03	US40 50' SO-04	HOTEL SO-05	WESTERN U.S. AVERAGE
Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Cadmium Calcium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Mercury Nickel Potassium Selenium Silver Sodium Thallium Vanadium Zinc	3790* 18e 87 95 .4ue 3.9* 46900* 17* [2.9]e 21 10600 477* 14200* 284 1.0* 12 [436]e 1.0u 2.0u [336] 2.4 11e 440*	11900* 70e 7.7 200 5.2e 12* 14300* 443* 14e 44 94200 133* 55800* 8320 0.5* 44 1480e 1.0u 2.0u 5620 2.0u 561e 331*	11300* 89e 7.5 144 43e 12* 12900* 743* 159e 100 10300 418* 36700* 15400 0.2* 52 [965]e 1.0u 2.0u 5130 2.0u 1390e 84*	10500* 40e 2.1u 668 1.4e 4.5* 6350* 4.3* 11e 15 33900 13* 3560* 112 0.5* 21 1160e 1.0u 2.1u [976] 2.1u 81e 96*	13200* 104e 188 225 1.0e 38* 14900* 21* 21e 222 46100 3479* 5550* 1730 3.9* 34 1960e 6.9 18 1320 13 12e 4630*	58000 .47 5.5 580 .68 .35 41 7.1 21 21000 17 380 .05 15 .23 .5

Spike recovery beyond the \pm 25% control limit. Duplicate results exceeded the relative percent difference limit of \pm 35%. Consider an estimate.

An interference may be present for these elements. Results is below CLP contract detection limit but above the detection limit for the instrument.

TABLE 6: AIR SAMPLING DATA

AM-01 7/8/86 1745 0257 AM-02 7/8/86 2125 0634 AM-03 7/8/86 2012 Blow down; sample not used AM-04 7/8/86 1929 0538 AM-05 7/8/86 2032 0303 AM-01 7/9/86 1125 2309 AM-02 7/9/86 1410 0146 AM-03 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-04 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-05 7/12/86 1154 2140	LOCATION	DATE	START TIME	STOP TIME	COMMENTS
AM-03	AM-01				
AM-04 7/8/86 1929 0538 AM-05 7/8/86 2032 0303 AM-01 7/9/86 1125 2309 AM-02 7/9/86 1410 0146 AM-03 7/9/86 1333 2323 AM-04 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-02			0634	
AM-04 7/8/86 1929 0538 AM-05 7/8/86 2032 0303 AM-01 7/9/86 1125 2309 AM-02 7/9/86 1410 0146 AM-03 7/9/86 1333 2323 AM-04 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					Blow down; sample not used
AM-01 7/9/86 1125 2309 AM-02 7/9/86 1410 0146 AM-03 7/9/86 1333 2323 AM-04 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-05 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					,
AM-02 7/9/86 1410 0146 AM-03 7/9/86 1333 2323 AM-04 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-07 7/11/86 1218 2316 AM-07 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-08 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-09 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-05	7/8/86	2032	0303	
AM-03 7/9/86 1333 2323 AM-04 7/9/86 1315 2325 AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-05 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-02 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-01	7/9/86	1125	2309	
AM-04	AM-02	7/9/86	1410	0146	
AM-05 7/9/86 1504 0243 AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/11/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-03	7/9/86	1333	2323	
AM-01 7/10/86 1005 2055 Sheep grazing in area of sampler AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-04	7/9/86	1315	2325	
AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-05	7/9/86	1504	0243	
AM-02 7/10/86 1230 2219 AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/11/86 1129 2211	AM-01	7/10/86	1005	2055	• •
AM-03 7/10/86 1110 2228 AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-02	7/10/86	1230	2219	
AM-04 7/10/86 1110 2224 AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211				2228	
AM-05 7/10/86 1158 2257 AM-01 7/11/86 1030 2236 AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-04	7/10/86	1110	2224	
AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-05			2257	
AM-02 7/11/86 1244 2308 AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-01	7/11/86	1030	2236	
AM-03 7/11/86 1123 2228 AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					
AM-04 7/11/86 1128 2229 AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					
AM-05 7/11/86 1214 2244 Sampler moved 300 yards to south. AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					
AM-01 7/12/86 1025 2153 AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					Sampler moved 300 yards to
AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211		,,22,00			•
AM-02 7/12/86 1218 2316 AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211	AM-01	7/12/86	1025	2153	
AM-03 7/12/86 1129 2211 AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					
AM-04 7/12/86 1129 2211					
		• •			


REFERENCES


- Bryan, R.J., R.J. Gordon, and H. Menck. Comparison of High Volume Air Filter Samples at Varying Distances from Los Angeles Freeway. University of Southern California, School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA. Presented at 66th Annual Meeting of Air Pollution Control Association. Chicago, IL. June 24-28, 1973. APCA 73-158.)
- Daines, R.H., H. Moto, and D.M. Chilko. Atmospheric Lead: Its Relationship to Traffic Volume and Proximity to Highways. Environ. Sci. and Technol., 4:318, 1970.
- Johnson, E.E., et al. Epidemiologic Study of the Effects of Automobile Traffic on Blood Lead Levels, Southwest Research Institute, Houston, TX. Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-600/1-78-055, August 1978.


 Air Quality Criteria for Lead. Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. EPA-600/8-77-017. December 1977.
- Lyman, D.R. The Atmospheric Diffusion of Carbon Monoxide and Lead from an Expressway. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH. 1972.
- Shacklette, H.T., and Boerngen, J.G.; 1984: Element Concentrations in Soils and other Surficial Materials of the Conterminous United States. U.S. Geol. Surv. Professional Paper 1270. 105pp.


APPENDIX I


FIGURES











37.5 % Calm

TABL BEPORT TO THE B.P.A.


***** Richardson Flats Wind Rose in % of Sample Time for DAY 2 1100 -0300 Hours


July 9-10,1986

1.0.0 R8-8605-12


ecology and environment, inc. DERTER, COLORADO

FIG.5





DIRTER, COLORADO






FIG.9 WIND SPEED IN MPH




FIG. 10 WIND SPEED IN MPH




FIG.11 WIND SPEED IN MPH




FIG.12 WIND SPEED IN MPH





FIG.13 WIND SPEED IN MPH






FIG. 10 WIND SPEED IN MPH






FIG. 11 WIND SPEED IN MPH





TARGET SHEET

EPA REGION VIII SUPERFUND DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 494686

SITE NAME:		
DOCUMENT NOT SCANNED Due to one of the following reasons: PHOTOGRAPHS 3-DIMENSIONAL OVERSIZED AUDIO/VISUAL PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS POOR LEGIBILITY OTHER NOT AVAILABLE TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report	SITE	NAME: RICHARDSON FLAT TAILINGS
Due to one of the following reasons: PHOTOGRAPHS 3-DIMENSIONAL OVERSIZED AUDIO/VISUAL PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS POOR LEGIBILITY OTHER NOT AVAILABLE TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report	DOC	UMENT DATE: 08/24/1987
Due to one of the following reasons: PHOTOGRAPHS 3-DIMENSIONAL OVERSIZED AUDIO/VISUAL PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS POOR LEGIBILITY OTHER NOT AVAILABLE TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report		
 □ 3-DIMENSIONAL □ OVERSIZED □ AUDIO/VISUAL □ PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS □ POOR LEGIBILITY □ OTHER □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 	Due t	
 □ OVERSIZED □ AUDIO/VISUAL □ PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS □ POOR LEGIBILITY □ OTHER □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 	☐ PI	HOTOGRAPHS
 □ AUDIO/VISUAL □ PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS □ POOR LEGIBILITY □ OTHER □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 	□ 3-	DIMENSIONAL
 □ PERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS □ POOR LEGIBILITY □ OTHER □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 	□ o ′	VERSIZED
 □ POOR LEGIBILITY □ OTHER □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 		UDIO/VISUAL
 □ OTHER □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 		ERMANENTLY BOUND DOCUMENTS
 □ NOT AVAILABLE ☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report 	□ P(OOR LEGIBILITY
☑ TYPES OF DOCUMENTS NOT TO BE SCANNED (Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report	□ o	THER
(Data Packages, Data Validation, Sampling Data, CBI, Chain of Custody) DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report		OT AVAILABLE
APPENDIX II Raw Results and QA Report		
	DOC	UMENT DESCRIPTION:

APPENDIX IV

UPDATED SITE INVESTIGATION FORMS

©FPΔ

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT

I. IDENTIFICATION								
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER							
UT	D980952840							

VLIA		PART 1 - SIT	E LOCATION ANI	D INSPI	ECTION INFO	RMA	TION LUI	D900932040
II. SITE NAME AND LOC	ATION							
O1 SITE NAME (Legal, common, or descriptive name of site)				02 STRE	EET, ROUTE NO., C	OR SPE	CIFIC LOCATION IDENTIFIER	
Richardson Fl	lat Ta	ailings		approx. 2.5 miles NE of Park City, Utah				
03 CITY					E 05 ZIP CODE		DB COUNTY	07COUNTY 08 CONG CODE DIST
Park City				UT	84060		Summit	043 UT-03
09 COORDINATES 40° 40' 50!'	111	, LONGUTUDE 26 40".	10 TYPE OF OWNERSH [A. PRIVATE F. OTHER	🗆 B. FE	ederal		C. STATE D. COUNT	
III. INSPECTION INFORM								
01 DATE OF INSPECTION 6 , 19, 85 MONTH DAY YEAR	5*	2 SITE STATUS ACTIVE INACTIVE	late 196		1981	_	UNKNOWN	1
04 AGENCY PERFORMING INS								
1		"	Name of firm)			D. MUI	NICIPAL CONTRACTOR _	(Name of Irm)
□ E. STATE □ F. STATE	E CONTRA	ACTOR	Name of firm:	. 🗆 G. C	OTHER		(Specify)	
05 CHIEF INSPECTOR		·	06 TITLE				07 ORGANIZATION	08 TELEPHONE NO.
Susan Kennedy	y		Terrestria	al Bi	ologist		E&E	303 ¹ 757-4984
09 OTHER INSPECTORS		·	10 TITLE				11 ORGANIZATION	12 TELEPHONE NO.
Eric Johnson			EPA Reg.	Site	Project (Offi	der EPA	\$03,293-1519
Jeff Holcomb			Chemical	Engin	ieer		E&E	303 1757-4984
Tom Smith			Safety Of:	ficer	· 		E&E	303 ¹ 757-4984
Wade Hansen			Geologist	Geologist			Utah Dept. Env. Health	801)533-4145
Rob Smith Dave Tuesday			Chief Hydr Geochemis	t	•		E&E E&E	303 757-4984 303 757-4984
13 SITE REPRESENTATIVES IN E.L. Osika, J	_	D	Vice President		309 Kearn Salt Lake	nited ns Bl	Park City Mines	16 TELEPHONE NO (801) 532-4031
Kerry C. Gee			Geologist Engineer	t/	same as a	•	• •	(801) 532-4031
								()
								()
					•			()
								()
								-
17 ACCESS GAINED BY (Check one)	18 TIME	OF INSPECTION	19 WEATHER COND	ITIONS				
D PERMISSION D WARRANT			varied					
IV. INFORMATION AVAIL	LABLEF	ROM						
01 CONTACT				02 OF (Agency/Organization) 03 TELEPHONE NO				
Paula Schmitt					VIII Den			(303) 293–1518
04 PERSON RESPONSIBLE FO	A SITE INSI	PECTION FORM	05 AGENCY	06 ORG	GANIZATION	_ ['	07 TELEPHONE NO.	08 DATE
Susan Kennedy			EPA	E&	E FIT VII	[I	(303)757-4984	8,27,85

Updated: 8/24/87

EPAFORM 2070-13 (7-81)

* 6/19,20/85; 7/30,31/85; 8/1,2/85; 7/7-14/86

SEPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 2 - WASTE INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION

O1 STATE | 02 SITE NUMBER

UT | D980952840

	STATES, QUANTITIES, AN						
01 PHYSICAL S	STATES (Check all that apply)	02 WASTE QUANTI	of waste quantities	03 WASTE CHARACT	TERISTICS (Check all that a	ipply!	
X A SOLID		must be a	ndependenti 2 million 1	Z A TOXIC □ B. CORRO			
L B POWDE		TONS _	Z III 1 1 1 1 1	C. RADIO	ACTIVE G. FLAM	IMABLE 🗀 K. REACT	TIVE
İ		CUBIC YARDS _		₩ D. PERSIS			
🗅 D. OTHER	(Specify)	NO. OF DRUMS				<u> </u>	F7 60- 40-
III. WASTE T	YPE					····	
CATEGORY	SUBSTANCE N	AME	01 GROSS AMOUNT	02 UNIT OF MEASURE	E 03 COMMENTS		
SLU	SLUDGE				1		
OLW	OILY WASTE						
SOL	SOLVENTS						
PSD	PESTICIDES						
occ	OTHER ORGANIC CH	HEMICALS					
IOC	INORGANIC CHEMIC	ALS	Elevated a	rsenic, soc	dium, cyanid	e. ²	
ACD	ACIDS						
BAS	BASES	-					
MES	HEAVY METALS		Heavy meta	ls in taili		l, at least	2 million
IV. HAZARD	OUS SUBSTANCES (See AD)	ppendix for most frequents	y cred CAS Numbers)		tons of	tailings.	
01 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE NA	AME	03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/DIS	SPOSAL METHOD	05 CONCENTRATION	06 MEASURE OF CONCENTRATION
TOC	Arsenic		999	Surface im	noundment	1650	ug/g *
MES	Cadmium		999	(tailings)	• — —	56	ug/g
MES	Copper		999	11		435	ug/g
MES	Lead		999	11		538	ug/g
MES	Manganese		999	11		2280	ug/g
MES	Mercury		999	11		1.24	ug/g
MES	Nickel		7440-02-0	11		23	ug/g
MES	Silver		999	11		21	ug/g
IOC	Sodium		999	11		2998	ug/g
MES	Zinc		999	11		5353	ug/g
IOC	Cyanide		999	79		5.2 ⁻	ug/g
	* Concentratio	n figured	are averag	es of 4 sur	face tailin	gs samples	
	(RT-SO-4,5,6						
	•						
V. FEEDSTO	CKS (See Appendix for CAS Number	1/3)					
CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK	KNAME	02 CAS NUMBER	CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTO	XCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER
FDS	none			FDS			
FDS				FDS			
FDS				FDS			
FDS				FDS			
VI. SOURCES	S OF INFORMATION (CHe ap	specific references, e.g., s	state Hes. sample analysis, re	eports)			
	The second secon						

¹ Memo to File; J. Holcomb; 7/12/85.

Analytical Results Report for Richardson Flat Tailings; Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E); 10/25/85; TDD R8-8508-07.

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 2 - WASTE INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION						
01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER						
ו ידוז	D980952840					

ACI	A			E INFORMATION	I	UT D98	0952840
II. WASTE S	TATES, QUANTITIES, AN	D CHARACTER	ISTICS				
O1 PHYSICAL STATES (Check of Inal apply) C A SOLID B POWDER, FINES C G GAS O2 WASTE QUANT (Measures of must be full b C C SLUDGE C G GAS		TY AT SITE 03 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS (Check less) quantities		SIVE & F INFEC	BLE II. HIGHLY CTIOUS II. J. EXPLOS IMABLE II. K. REACT ABLE II. INCOM	SIVE IVE PATIBLE	
L D OTHER	(Specify:	NO. OF DRUMS				≟ M NOT A	PPLICABLE
III. WASTE T		NO. OF DROMS		<u> </u>		·	
CATEGORY	SUBSTANCE N	AMF	01 GROSS AMOUNT	02 UNIT OF MEASURE	03 COMMENTS		
SLU	SLUDGE		CT GROOM AND GROOM	or or weadone	03 COMMENTS		
OLW	OILY WASTE						
SOL	SOLVENTS		 				
PSD	PESTICIDES						
осс	OTHER ORGANIC CH	EMICALS	<u> </u>				
IOC	INORGANIC CHEMIC						
ACD	ACIDS						
BAS	BASES	·· 	 				·
MES	HEAVY METALS		†				
IV. HAZARD	OUS SUBSTANCES (See Ap	pendix for most frequent	ly cited CAS Numbers)	<u> </u>	 		
01 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE N	AME	03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/DISF	POSAL METHOD	05 CONCENTRATION	06 MEASURE OF CONCENTRATION
IOC	Arsenic		999	Surface Impoundment		.0928	ug/m3 *
MES	Cadmium		999	(tailings)		.0825	ug/m ³
MES	Lead		999	11		1.6478	ug/m ³
MES	Zinc		999	**		1.4478	ug/m ³
	Ref. ³						
		 					
							
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
							1
							
			•				
V. FEEDSTO	CKS (See Appendix for CAS Number	(B)	1				L
CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK	*	02 CAS NUMBER	CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTO	OCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER
FDS				FDS			·
FDS				FDS			
FDS				FDS			
FDS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		FDS			
	OF INFORMATION (CR+ 1)	nacity references a - *			=		
TI. SOUNCES	OF INCOMMENDIA (CAPE	Pedini releiences. e.g.,	every times, earlight analysis. It	porta)			

Analytical Results Report of Air Sampling at Richardson Flat; E&E FIT; 9/19/86; TDD R8-8608-05, E&E Files.

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDEN

I. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER

UT D980952840

PART 3 - DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS	UT D980952840
	POTENTIAL & ALLEGED
O3 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED O4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION Ground water samples from UPCM wells (RF-GW-2, RF-GW-3) were collected and analyzed analyses revealed elevated levels of arsenic, cobalt, iron, manganese, and zinc. and 222' deep) have been identified within one mile of the site. The best inform cates the wells are completed in Tertiary volcanic rock composed primarily of ander wheather water-bearing units of unconsolidated deposits are hydraulically connected bearing unit of Tertiary organ is not known. O1 D B. SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION 414 O2 TABLESTATE OF THE TABLE	Two domestic wells (210'
01 M B. SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION 02 (A) OBSERVED (DATE: 6/20/85_) P 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 414 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OTENTIAL ALLEGED
Surface water samples from Silver Creek, collected downgradient of the site, contain of lead. RT-SW-3 (downgradient) contained 1985 ug/1 lead as compared to RT-SW-1 (ultiple 147 ug/1 lead. Arsenic levels were also elevated. Water diverted from Silver Creek land irrigation (276 acres) within 3-stream miles of the site.	upgradient) containing
of M.C. CONTAMINATION OF AIR 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 4500 04 NABBATIVE DESCRIPTION Hi-volume air sampling performed on July 7-14, 1980 verified the release of inorgar air route. A 100 fold increase in airborne lead concentration was detected when condownwind sampling stations. Values for arsenic, cadmium and zinc are also highly ended to ground samples. Population residing within a 4-mile radius is approximately 4500.	omparing upwind versus
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OTENTIAL ALLEGED
No recorded history fire and explosive conditions do not exist	
01 [XE DIRECT CONTACT 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 4500 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION The site is not secured from public access or access by domestic land 20, vehicles were observed driving near the tailings area at road. Sheep and cattle were observed walking on the tailings on June 19 and 20 and 20 and 20 and 20 area.	long the access
03 AREA POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OTENTIAL X ALLEGED
Soil beneath the the tailings (RF-SS-6) contains elevated concentral arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, magnesium, mercury, silver, sodium and zinc. Off s (RT-SO-1) contained elevated levels of arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury and zinc prodeposition.	site surface soil
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OTENTIAL ALLEGED
Two domestic wells are located within one mile of the tailings. ⁴ Surface water fronot used for drinking water. ⁹	om Silver Creek is
03 WORKERS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OTENTIAL ALLEGED
The tailings are being removed by Mr. Ray Wortley to be used as backfill for sewer In addition, FIT members observed heavy equipment operators dumping what appeared the tailings area. Observations were made on June 19 and 20, 1985.	to be native soil on
01 Q(I. POPULATION EXPOSURE/INJURY 02 DOBSERVED (DATE:) \(\frac{1}{2}\) PO 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: \(\frac{4500}{4500}\) 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	OTENTIAL ALLEGED
No recorded history of population exposure or injury, however, the site is not secu access or domestic livestock grazing. Population exposure of concern include airbo	
food chain contamination associated with the surface water route, and threat to dome	estic wells.

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT

I. IDENTIFICATION
01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER
UT D980952840

PART 3 - DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS
II. HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS (Continued)
01 (X J. DAMAGE TO FLORA 02 (X OBSERVED (DATE 6/19/85) D POTENTIAL ALLEGED 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
Peripheral tailings support vegetation including Juncus sp., Salix sp. and
Verbascum thapsus, but most of the tailings are denuded due to high levels
of soluble salts and metals.
01 CX K. DAMAGE TO FAUNA 02 DOBSERVED (DATE:) DA POTENTIAL DESCRIPTION (Include name(s) of apecies:
No apparent damage to area fauna. Two muskrats were observed swimming in the
drainage ditch on site (near RT-SW-4). Fish in Silver Creek could potentially
be affected by lead and arsenic released from the tailings.
01 💢 L. CONTAMINATION OF FOOD CHAIN 02 🗆 OBSERVED (DATE:) APOTENTIAL 🗀 ALLEGED
O4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION The possibility exists for metals to move through the food chain 1) by domestic livestock grazing in are
where soil is contaminated; 2) by heavy metal concentration in local fish populations.
01 DXM. UNSTABLE CONTAINMENT OF WASTES (Spills Runolf Standing Iquids, Leaking drums) 4500
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
Tailings ponds are uncovered and therefore susceptible to gusty winds which carry fine-grain tailings
material off-site. A dam constructed at the northwest end of the tailings prevents mass movement of solid material off-site.
01 💢 N. DAMAGE TO OFFSITE PROPERTY 02 🗆 OBSERVED (DATE:) 💢 POTENTIAL 🗀 ALLEGED 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
The potential exists for damage to off-site property because the tailings material is allegedly being
used as sewer line backfill and road base in the Park City area.
01 DXO. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS. STORM DRAINS, WWTPs 02 D OBSERVED (DATE:) DEPOTENTIAL DESCRIPTION
If tailings material is being used as sewer line backfill, the potential exists for sewer contamina-
tion by metals.
01 © P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING 02 © OBSERVED (DATE:
Dumping of native soil on to the tailings was observed by FTT members, but is under the supervision of
United Park City Mines.
05 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS
No other hazards are known.
NO Other reading are months
III. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 4500
IV. COMMENTS
IV. COMMENTS
· ·
V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)
4 Well Logs (#34833 and #A-34356).
Well Logs (#34833 and #A-34356). Water Resources of the Heber-Kamas - Park City Area North-Central Utah; Tech. Publ. No. 27.
Tech. Publ. No. 27. 6 Telecon; S. Kennedy to J. Anderson; 7/18/85.
7 Weber River Decree and Corresponding Plat.

EPAFORM2070-13(7-81)

8 Telecon; S. Kennedy to J. Harrington; 9/4/85.

9 Telecon; S. Kennedy to L. Mize; 7/17/85.

	\$	E	P/	
I.	PERN	IIT IN	FOR	N

ı.	IDENT	TRICATION
01	STATE	02 SITE NUMBER D980952840

⊗EPA		SITE INS		TION PTIVE INFORMATI		01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER UT D980952840
II. PERMIT INFORMATION						
01 TYPE OF PERMIT ISSUED (Check all that apply)	02 PERMIT NUMBER	03 DATE	ISSUED	04 EXPIRATION DATE	05 COMMENTS	
C A. NPDES				1		
B. UIC						
C. AIR						
D. RCRA	 	-			 	
DE. RCRA INTERIM STATUS	 			 		
DE SPCC PLAN	 	-		 	 	
G. STATE (Specify)	 	+			 	
H. LOCAL (Specify)		+		 	 	
I. OTHER (Specify)	 	+		 		
		+		 	 	
III SITE DESCRIPTION	L	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>	
III. SITE DESCRIPTION 01 STORAGE/DISPOSAL (Check all that apply) 02	AMOUNT 03 UNIT O		T 24 TE			TAC OTHER
		OF MEASURE	04 In	REATMENT (Check all that ap	IPIQI	05 OTHER
	2 million <u>to</u>	ons		INCENERATION		A. BUILDINGS ON SITE
☐ B. PILES ☐ C. DRUMS, ABOVE GROUND			1	UNDERGROUND INJE		
D. TANK, ABOVE GROUND			1	CHEMICAL/PHYSICAL	ı.L	None
E. TANK, BELOW GROUND			1	BIOLOGICAL WASTE OIL PROCESS	-	NOTIC 06 AREA OF SITE
☐ F. LANDFILL			1 -	WASTE OIL PROCESS		UD AREA OF SITE
G. LANDFARM			1	SOLVENT RECOVERY OTHER RECYCLING/F		160
☐ H. OPEN DUMP			1	OTHER RECYCLING/F	RECUVENT	(Acres)
🗆 I. OTHER			↓ □ ····	OTHER	icity)	
07 COMMENTS						
Slurry, generated from and currently covers a containing tailings ma overlies a portion of	ipproximately iterial is pre	160 aca	res.	The metal	sulfide.	and carbonate-
IV. CONTAINMENT						
01 CONTAINMENT OF WASTES (Check one)						
☐ A. ADEQUATE, SECURE	☐ B. MODERATE	X c. IN	IADEQU	JATE, POOR	D. INSECUP	RE, UNSOUND, DANGEROUS
O2 DESCRIPTION OF DRUMS, DIKING, LINERS, BARF A dam at the northwest containment on site. I is present.	extension of	the ta	ailir al is	ngs is the os uncovered,	only form and no u	of artificial Inderlying liner
V. ACCESSIBILITY						
01 WASTE EASILY ACCESSIBLE: X YES E	⊒ NO					
O2 COMMENTS The site is not secured	i from public	access	s or	domestic li	vestock g	grazing.
VI. SOURCES OF INFORMATION ICHO Specific	c references, e.g. state files, same	ple analysis, repo	orts)			
See pages 2, 2A and 4.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					•	

Ω		λC
	Cſ	74

I. IDENTIFICATION						
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER					
ידוז '	D980952840					

	:DA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT						01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER	
PART 5 - WATER, DEMOGRAPHIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DATA					ENTAL DATA	UT	D980952840		
II. DRINI	(ING WATER SUPPLY								
	PEDRINKING SUPPLY		02 STATUS				0:	3 DISTANCE TO SITE	
	SURFACE	WELL	ENDANGER	ED AFFE	CTED	MONITORED		277	
COMMUN	NITY A. 🗆	B. ' '	A. 📆	В.	. 🗅	C. 🗅	A	. <u>3/4</u> (mi)	
NON-COI	MMUNITY C.	D. [X	D. 🗀	E .		F. 🗆	В	(mi)	
III. GROL	INDWATER								
01 GROUN	DWATER USE IN VICINITY (Check	one)							
G A. 0	INLY SOURCE FOR DRINKING	DRINKING (Other sources evalue) COMMERCIAL, INI (No other water source)	DUSTRIAL, IRRIGATIO	(L	OMMERCIAL, mited other sour	INDUSTRIAL, IRRIGAT	1ON	① D. NOT USED, UNUSEABLE	
02 POPUL	ATION SERVED BY GROUND WA	TER 8	-	03 DISTANO	E TO NEARES	ST DRINKING WATER W	VELL	3/4(mi)	
04 DEPTH	TO GROUNDWATER	05 DIRECTION OF GRO	OUNDWATER FLOW	06 DEPTH TO		07 POTENTIAL YIELI	D	08 SOLE SOURCE AQUIFER	
1	50 ⁴	nor	th	50	_	unknown	_(gpd)	□ YES Ã NO	
is 22	ne site. One of the 22 feet deep with a			et.		level of 42 f	feet.	The second well	
10 RECHAR	1			11 DISCHAR	i				
□XYES	COMMENTS			☐ YES O(NO	COMMENT	rs			
IV. SURF	ACE WATER								
🗀 A. RI	E WATER USE (Check one) ESERVOIR, RECREATION RINKING WATER SOURCE		N, ECONOMICALLY TRESOURCES	□ c . c	COMMERCIA	AL. INDUSTRIAL		D. NOT CURRENTLY USED	
02 AFFECT	ED/POTENTIALLY AFFECTED BO	DDIES OF WATER							
NAME:						AFFECTED		DISTANCE TO SITE	
941	ver Creek				-	X	•	2001	
GM F								oprox. 300' (mm)	
							_	(mi)	
V DEMO	GRAPHIC AND PROPERTY	VINEODMATION							
	OPULATION WITHIN	TINFORMATION			02	DISTANCE TO NEARES	ST POPU	ILATION	
		O IO IN EC OF CITE	TUBEE (0		į į				
ONE (1)	MILE OF SITE TW	O (2) MILES OF SITE	C ITHEE (3) MILES OF S 95	SILE		1.9	(mi)	
NO.	OF PERSONS	NO. OF PERSONS (h	ouse count f	Off PERSONS	1955)			(,,,,	
03 NUMBER	OF BUILDINGS WITHIN TWO (2)	MILES OF SITE		04 DISTANCE	TO NEARES	T OFF-SITE BUILDING			
						ni)			
05 POPULAT	TION WITHIN VICINITY OF SITE (F	Provide nerretive description of n	sture of population within v	control ste e.o.	rural village de	enselv populated urban eres			
Pari flu	k City, Utah is ctuates from 45 manent populati	approximate:	ly 2.5 milduring the	les sou e winte	thwest	of the sit	e.	The population ear-round	

I. IDENTIFICATION

\$EPA	PART	SITE INSPEC 5 - WATER, DEMOGRAPH	CTION REPORT HIC, AND ENVIRO	NMENTAL DATA	01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER UT D980952840
VI. ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMA					
01 PERMEABILITY OF UNSATURATED 2		e/ ☐ B. 10 ⁻⁴ - 10 ⁻⁶ cm/sec ☐	3 C. 10 ⁻⁴ – 10 ⁻³ cm	v/sec (Ž D. GREATE	R THAN 10 ⁻³ cm/sec
02 PERMEABILITY OF BEDROCK (Creck to	one)				
	MEABLE 10 ⁻⁸ cm/sec)	B. RELATIVELY IMPERMEABI	LE C. RELATIVEL	Y PERMEABLE [] [D. VERY PERMEABLE (Greater than 10 ⁻² privised)
03 DEPTH TO BEDROCK 25	04 DEPTH O	OF CONTAMINATED SOIL ZONE UNKNOWN (ft)	05 SOIL pH		
06 NET PRECIPITATION -12 (in)	07 ONE YEAR	1.25 (in)	OB SLOPE SITE SLOPE	north north	0-5
00 FLOOD POTENTIAL SITE IS IN	OODPLAIN	□ SITE IS ON BARRI	IER ISLAND, COASTA	*····	A, RIVERINE FLOODWAY
11 DISTANCE TO WETLANDS (5 acre minim	tumi	<u> </u>	12 DISTANCE TO CRIT	TICAL HABITAT (of endanger	red apeciesi
ESTUARINE		OTHER (freshwater)		N/	
A. <u>N/A</u> (mi)	в	0,25 (mi)	ENDANGERE	ED SPECIES: no en	dangered species in Park 10
13 LAND USE IN VICINITY					
A 1.5 (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE IN RELATION T Richardson Flat is	to surround a natu		Forest tial Area (ml)	c. N/A f the Wasatc	adjacent to site(mi) D. <l hay<="" mile(mi)pastureland,="" td=""></l>
adjacent to Silver	Creek.				· .
•					• ,
THE COURSE OF INCOMMATION	**				
VII. SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(Cite apecific re	eferences, e.g., state files, sample analysis, r	reports)		<u> </u>
10 Telecon; S. Ken	inedy to	o Larry England; 9) /4/85 .		

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART A. SAMPLE AND FIELD INFORMATION

L. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER

UT D980952840

	P	ART 6 - SAMPLE AND FIELD INFORMATION	,
II. SAMPLES TAKEN			
SAMPLE TYPE	01 NUMBER OF SAMPLES TAKEN	02 SAMPLES SENT TO	03 ESTIMATED DATE RESULTS AVAILABL
GROUNDWATER	3	EPA Region 8 Laboratory, Lakewood, CO	R6916/85
SURFACE WATER	6	" "	Rec'd 7/12/85
Tailings Surface WASIE Subsurface	4	EPA Region 8 Lab & Versar Inc. Springfield	Rec'd 7/12/85 Rec'd 10/16/8
AIR (High-vol)	29	Hittman-Ebasco, Columbia, MD	8/86
RUNOFF			
SPILL			
som Surface Subsurface	2	EPA Region 8 Lab, Lakewood, CO EPA Region 8 Lab & Versar, Inc. Springfiel	Rec'd 7/12/85 dRec'd 10/16/8
VEGETATION		, s va	
OTHER			
III. FIELD MEASUREMENTS TA	KEN		
1 TYPE	02 COMMENTS		
рН	Surface water	camples ranged from 6.43 to 6.89 samples (Silver Cr. tailings ditch) ranged from 7.26 to	o 7 . 54
temperature	Ground water Surface water	15°C to 11°C 19°C to 20°C	
1	Ground water 3	350 to 1450 umhos/cm 550 to 1400 umhos/cm	
conductivity volatile	Surrace water	330 to 1400 timnos/cm	
organics (HNu)	No readings gr	eater than background	
radiation	No readings gr	eater than background	
V. PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAPS			
01 TYPE XGROUND AERIAL		02 IN CUSTODY OF Ecology and Environment FIT VIII Files	
3 MAPS 04 LOCATION			
X YES Ecology	and Environmen	t FIT VIII Files	
V. OTHER FIELD DATA COLLEC	CTED (Provide narrative desc	cration)	

VI. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité apecific references, e.g., state files, Sample analysis, reports)

See pages 2, 2A, 4 and 7.

⊕EPA		SITE INSP	ZARDOUS WASTE SITE ECTION REPORT	D2 SITE NUMBER D980952840	
		PART 7 - OW	NER INFORMATION	·	
IL CURRENT OWNER(S) 01 NAME	 	IO2 D+B NUMBER	PARENT COMPANY (If applicable) 08 NAME		09 D+B NUMBER
	es Co.	JOE O' O'NOMOZA	N/A		
United Park City Mine 03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. BOX. RFD P. OTC.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P.O Box, RFD #, etc.)		11 SIC CODE
309 Kearns Bldg.					
05 CITY		07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
Salt Lake City	UT	84101		i	
O1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	OB NAME		09 D+8 NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box. RFD #, etc.)		11 SIC CODE
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
O1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	O8 NAME		09 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		11SIC CODE
OU STREET ADDRESS (FU BOX, RFD F, B(C.)		04 310 0002	TO STREET ADDRESS (P. U. BOX, NPD F, WIL.)		1130 0002
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
O1 NAME	<u>-</u>	02 D+B NUMBER	OB NAME	<u></u>	09 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD Ø, ⊕(c.)		11 SIC CODE
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
III. PREVIOUS OWNER(S) (List most recent	hesti:		IV. REALTY OWNER(S) (If applicable: his	t most recent first!	
		02 D+B NUMBER	O1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O Box. RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
D1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD P. etc.)	·	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box. RFD #. etc.)		04 SIC CODE
DS CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
D1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	O1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER
D3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD P. etc.)	L	04 SIC CODE
SCITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cross	pecific references.	e.a state files, sample analys:	3. reports)		
See pages 2, 2A, 4 an	d 7.				

⊕EP	4
------------	---

L IDENT	TFICATION
01 STATE UT	02 SITE NUMBER D980952840

			CTION REPORT ATOR INFORMATION	O1 STATE 02 UT	01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER UT D980952840		
H. CURRENT OPERAT	OR (Provide il different fro	m owner;		OPERATOR'S PARENT COMPANY (# apparation)			
01 NAME			02 D+B NUMBER	10 NAME	1	11 D+B NUMBER	
United Park		Со		N/A			
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O.	,		04 SIC CODE	12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc		13 SIC CODE	
309 Kearns B	idg.	los corre		1.07	1,,,,,,,		
Salt Lake Ci		UT	84101	14 CITY	15 STATE	16 ZIP CODE	
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	same as a	bove.					
III. PREVIOUS OPERAT	TOR(S) (List most recent I	hrat, provide on	ly il different from owner)	PREVIOUS OPERATORS' PARE	NT COMPANIES (# 4	opiicable)	
01 NAME			02 D+B NUMBER	10 NAME		11 D+B NUMBER	
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. 8	oz, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD #. etc		13 SIC CODE	
05 CITY		06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	14 CITY	15 STATE	16 ZIP CODE	
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME OF OWNER	DURING THE	SPERIOD				
01 NAME	<u> </u>		02 D+B NUMBER	10 NAME		11 D+B NUMBER	
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)			04 SIC CODE	12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD ≠. etc.	,	13 SIC CODE	
05 CITY	·	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	14 CITY	15 STATE	16 ZIP CODE	
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME OF OWNER	DURING THI	S PERIOD				
01 NAME	<u> </u>		02 D+B NUMBER	10 NAME		11 D+B NUMBER	
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bo	x, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFO #, etc.	,	13 SIC CODE	
05 CITY		08 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	14 CITY	15 STATE	6 ZIP CODE	
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME OF OWNER	DURING THIS	PERIOD				
IV. SOURCES OF INFO	RMATION (Cite apecida	c references, e	g., state files, sample analysi	is, reports)			
See pages 2			<u></u>				

	ş	POTENTIAL HAZ		01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER		
SEPA PARTS			ECTION REPORT FRANSPORTER INFORMATION		D980952840	
II. ON-SITE GENERATOR				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
OI NAME		02 D+B NUMBER				
None						
D3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	7			
]				
D5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE			•	
			1			
III. OFF-SITE GENERATOR(S)		L				
OI NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	I 01 NAME	•	02 D+B NUMBER	
None						
3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box. RFD #. etc.)		04 SIC CODE	O3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	
and the second second of the second of the second						
5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	08 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	
1 NAME	<u> </u>	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	
3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #. otc.)		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P O. Box. RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	
D5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	
V. TRANSPORTER(S)		02 D+B NUMBER	IO1 NAME		02 D+8 NUMBER	
Mr. Ray Wortley *	ı	UZ D T B NOMBER	U I TAME		VZ UT B NUMBER	
3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD *, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD # . etc.)		04 SIC CODE	
		1			}	
unknown s city	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	
		-				
1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME		02 D+8 NUMBER	
3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #. etc.)	•	04 SIC CODE	
		- 1				
5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	
COURCES OF INFORMATION						
. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité apr	ecilic references, e	.g., state files, sample analysi	s, reports)			
roadbase.		_	for use as sewer line h			
Site Inspection	Report aste; 9	, Richardson /4/84; in E&	n Flat Tailings; Utah Bu &E files under TDD R8-85	reau of S 504-23.	olid	
			•			
•					·	

L IDENTIFICATION

SEPA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT IT 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES		UT D980952840
IL PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES			
01 A. WATER SUPPLY CLOSED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
No recorded history.			
01 B. TEMPORARY WATER SUPPLY PROVIDED 04 DESCRIPTION	O2 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			
01 C. PERMANENT WATER SUPPLY PROVIDED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported			
01 □ D. SPILLED MATERIAL REMOVED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			
01 □ E. CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			
01 ☐ F. WASTE REPACKAGED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported. O1 O G. WASTE DISPOSED ELSEWHERE	02 DATE	O3 ACENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	US AGENCY	
None observed or reported.	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION	UZ DATE	US AGENCI	
None observed or reported. O1 □ I. IN SITU CHEMICAL TREATMENT	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION	UZ DATE	US AGENCY	-
None observed or reported. O1 □ J. NO SITU BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
None observed or reported O1 □ K. IN SITU PHYSICAL TREATMENT	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION	02 0710	US AGENO?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
None observed or reported.			
01 □ L. ENCAPSULATION 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	-
None observed or reported.		181	
01 ☐ M. EMERGENCY WASTE TREATMENT 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			
01 □ N. CUTOFF WALLS 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			
01 CX O. EMERGENCY DIKING SURFACE WATER DIVER 04 DESCRIPTION			
A dam was built at the north			
01 DP. CUTOFF TRENCHES/SUMP 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			
01 \(\text{Q}\) SUBSURFACE CUTOFF WALL 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY	
None observed or reported.			

S.EDV

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT

L IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER

WEFA	PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	UT D980952840
II PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES (Commund)		
01 🗆 R. BARRIER WALLS CONSTRUCTED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported	•	
01 S. CAPPING/COVERING 04 DESCRIPTION	O2 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.		
01 🗆 T. BULK TANKAGE REPAIRED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported	•	
01 D U. GROUT CURTAIN CONSTRUCTED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported	_	
01 © V. BOTTOM SEALED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.	02 DATE	
01 DW. GAS CONTROL 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.		
01 X. FIRE CONTROL 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.		
01 D Y. LEACHATE TREATMENT 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or .reported.	•	
01 □ Z. AREA EVACUATED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.	· .	
01 1. ACCESS TO SITE RESTRICTED 04 DESCRIPTION	O2 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.		
01 🗔 2. POPULATION RELOCATED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.	02 DATE	
01 © 3. OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
None observed or reported.		

III. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité specific references e.g., state fées, sample enalysis, reports)

See pages 2, 2A, 4 and 7 and 11.



POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 11 - ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION

01 STATE U2 SITE NUMBER UT D980952840

II. ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION

01 PAST REGULATORY/ENFORCEMENT ACTION (II) YES (X) NO

02 DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL REGULATORY/ENFORCEMENT ACTION

- No agency enforcement action taken at this site.
- SI performed by State of Utah BSMW 12/21/84.
- SI performed by EPA FIT VIII, 6,7 & 8/85.
- Air sampling performed by EPA FIT VIII, 7/7-14/86.

III. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)

See pages 2, 2A, 4 and 7 and 11.